arXiv:1412.3860v2 [math-ph] 18 Feb 2015

COMPLETELY REDUCIBLE MAPS IN QUANTUM INFORMATION THEORY

D. CARIELLO

Abstract. In order to compute the Schmidt decomposition of $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$, we must consider an associated self-adjoint map. Here, we show that if A is positive under partial transposition (PPT) or symmetric with positive coefficients (SPC) or invariant under realignment then its associated self-adjoint map is completely reducible. We give applications of this fact in Quantum Information Theory. We recover some theorems recently proved for PPT and SPC matrices and we prove these theorems for matrices invariant under realignment using theorems of Perron-Frobenius theory. We also provide a new proof of the fact that if $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains k mutually unbiased bases then $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains $k+1$. We search for other types of matrices that could have the same property.

We consider a group of linear transformations acting on $M_k \otimes M_k$, which contains the partial transpositions and the realignment map. For each element of this group, we consider the set of matrices in $M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$ that are positive and remain positive, or invariant, under the action of this element. Within this family of sets, we have the set of PPT matrices, the set of SPC matrices and the set of matrices invariant under realignment. We show that these three sets are the only sets of this family such that the associated self-adjoint map of each matrix is completely reducible. We also show that every matrix invariant under realignment is PPT in $M_2 \otimes M_2$ and we present a counterexample in $M_k \otimes M_k$, $k \geq 3$.

Introduction

Let M_k denote the set of complex matrices of order k. Let us denote by VM_kW the set $\{VXW,\ X \in M_k\}$, where $V, W \in M_k$ are orthogonal projections. If $V = W$ then VM_kV is a hereditary finite dimensional C^*-algebra (see [7]). Let P_k denote the set of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices in M_k. A linear transformation $L : VM_kV \to WM_mW$ is said to be a positive map if $L(P_k \cap VM_kV) \subset P_m \cap WM_mW$. A non-null positive map $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is called irreducible, if for every $V'M_kV' \subset VM_kV$ such that $L(V'M_kV') \subset V'M_kV'$, we have $V' = V$ or $V' = 0$.

Two well known theorems of Perron-Frobenius Theory are theorems 2.3 and 2.5 in [7]: If $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a positive map then exists $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, where λ is the spectral radius of L. Moreover, if L is irreducible then this eigenvalue has multiplicity 1.

Let us say that $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is completely reducible, if there are orthogonal hereditary subalgebras of VM_kV, invariant under L, such that L restricted to each of these subalgebras is irreducible and L restricted to the orthogonal complement of their direct sum is null (see definition 2.1). The main theorems of this paper are related to the concept of completely reducible map and shall be obtained using the aforementioned theorems of Perron-Frobenius theory. This concept is related to the concept of completely reducible matrix (see [13]). In order to describe these main theorems, let us identify the tensor product space $M_k \otimes M_m$ with M_{km}, via Kronecker product.

Suppose $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$ is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. Consider the maps $F_A : M_m \to M_k$, $F_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(B_iX)A_i$ and $G_A : M_k \to M_m$, $G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(A_iX)B_i$. These are positive maps and adjoints with respect to the trace inner product. Thus, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is a self adjoint positive map. Here, in section 4, we show that

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15B48, 15B57.

Key words and Phrases: Completely Reducible Maps, Invariant under Realignment, Mutually Unbiased Bases, Perron-Frobenius, Realignment.

if A is positive under partial transposition (PPT) or symmetric with positive coefficients (SPC) or invariant under realignment then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. There are applications of this fact in Quantum Information theory, as we describe bellow.

First, if $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then A is a sum of weakly irreducible matrices (see definition 3.2) with support on orthogonal local Hilbert spaces, therefore A is separable (see definition 1.5) if and only if each weakly irreducible summand is separable (see corollary 3.5). This theorem was proved in [3] for PPT and SPC matrices, thus we extend this theorem for matrices invariant under realignment. Notice that a necessary condition for a matrix to be separable is to be PPT, thus the author of [3] reduced the separability problem to the weakly irreducible case. In [3], we can also find a description of weakly irreducible PPT or SPC matrix. Here, we obtained the same description for matrices invariant under realignment (proposition 3.3).

Second, if $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible with eigenvalues 1 or 0 then A is separable in a very strong sense (proposition 3.6). Using this theorem for a matrix A invariant under realignment (see proposition 5.1), we obtain a new proof of the following theorem proved in [15]: If $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains k mutually unbiased bases then exists another orthonormal basis which is mutually unbiased with these k bases (theorem 5.6).

In Quantum Information Theory, the concept of mutually unbiased bases (definition 5.2) has been shown to be useful. It has applications in state determination, quantum state tomography, cryptography (See [9],[18], [17], [5]). It is known that $k+1$ is an upper bound for the number of mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and the existence of this number of bases is an open problem, when k is not a power of prime. When k is a power of prime, some constructive methods were used to obtain these $k+1$ bases (See [9],[18], [2]).

The realigment map (definition 1.7) is important in Quantum Information Theory by its use in the realigment criterion ([6], [12]). This new proof of the existence of the last mutually unbiased basis shows a connection between two current topics in Quantum Information theory, the realigment map and mutually unbiased bases.

We shall search for other types of A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ could be completely reducible using the following idea. Let S_4 be the group of permutations of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ and let us use the cycle notation. Let $\sigma \in S_4$ and let us define the linear map $L_\sigma : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$, $L_\sigma(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t) = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)}^t \otimes a_{\sigma(3)} a_{\sigma(4)}^t$, for every $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}^k$. Notice that $\{L_\sigma,\ \sigma \in S_4\}$ is a group acting on $M_k \otimes M_k$.

Many well known maps in quantum information theory have the type L_σ. For example:

a) $L_{(34)}$ is the partial transposition, $L_{(34)}(C \otimes D) = C \otimes D^t$,
b) $L_{(12)(34)}(A) = A^t$ is the transposition,
c) $L_{(24)}(A) = AT$, where T is the flip operator (see definition 1.6),
d) $L_{(13)(24)}(C \otimes D) = D \otimes C$,
e) $L_{(23)}$ is the realignment map,
f) $L_{(243)}$ is the partial transposition composed with the realignment map.

This group is also known in quantum information theory for providing criterions to detect entanglement. For each element of this group exists a corresponding criterion analogous to the realignment criterion (see [16],[8]).

Notice that, by definition 1.3, PPT matrices are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices that remain positive under partial transposition (the map $L_{(34)}$). Here, we show that SPC matrices are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices that remain positive under partial transposition composed with the realigment map (the map $L_{(243)}$) (lemma 1.13). Let us define the sets

$$P_\sigma = \{A \in M_k \otimes M_k, A \text{ and } L_\sigma(A) \text{ are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices}\}$$

and we shall consider the following problem:

Problem 1: Which of the sets P_σ contains only matrices A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible?

The results obtained in [3] are related to our first problem. Indeed, they play a very special role in the solution. In section 6, we show that if all matrices A of P_σ are such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $P_\sigma = \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ or $P_\sigma = \{\text{SPC matrices}\}$.

In our opinion, there is a lack of symmetry in this solution, because PPT matrices are matrices that remain positive under partial transposition and SPC matrices are matrices that remain positive under partial transposition composed with the realigment map, but matrices that remain positive under the action of the realigment map may not have this property. For example: uu^t is positive semidefinite (definition 1.6), $S(uu^t) = Id \otimes Id$ (definition 1.7) and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible (lemma 4.4). This lack of symmetry leads to our second problem. Define

$$I_\sigma = \{A \in M_k \otimes M_k, A \text{ is positive semidefinite and } A = L_\sigma(A)\}$$

and let us consider the following problem:

Problem 2: Which of the sets I_σ contains only matrices A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible?

In section 6, we provide the answer to the second problem. It is interesting to notice that the answer depends on the dimension k. For $k \geq 3$, the only sets I_σ in the solution of problem 2 satisfy $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ or $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{SPC matrices}\}$ or $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{Matrices Invariant under Realignment}\}$ (theorem 6.4). For $k = 2$, the only sets I_σ in the solution of problem 2 satisfy $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ (theorem 6.5). In order to prove this last result, we show that every matrix invariant under realignment is PPT in $M_2 \otimes M_2$ (lemma 6.6) and we present a counterexample in $M_k \otimes M_k$, for $k \geq 3$ (example 6.7).

Some of these I_σ were considered previously in papers related to the separability problem in Quantum Information Theory. For example, the authors of [14] and [10] considered matrices invariant under multiplication by the flip operator and matrices invariant under partial transposition, respectively.

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 1, we describe the definitions and the preliminary results that shall be used. In section 2, we describe some results concerning completely reducible maps. These results are consequences of theorems of Perron-Frobenius Theory. In section 3, we assume $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible and we give two applications in Quantum Information Theory. We also provide an equivalent way to prove that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible (lemma 3.1). This equivalent way shall be used in section 4, in order to prove that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible, if $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$ is PPT or SPC or invariant under realignment. We also provide examples of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$ such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible. In section 5, we show that if $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains k mutually unbiased bases then $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains $k+1$ and we show that this last basis is unique up to multiplication by complex vectors of norm 1. In section 6, we search for other types of $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ could be completely reducible.

1. Preliminary Results and Definitions

Let M_k denote the set of complex matrices of order k and $\mathbb{C}^k$ be the set of column vectors with k complex entries. We shall identify the tensor product space $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\mathbb{C}^{km}$ and the tensor product space $M_k \otimes M_m$ with M_{km}, via Kronecker product (i.e., if $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_k$ and $B \in M_m$ then $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_{km}$ and if $v = (v_i) \in \mathbb{C}^k, w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ then $v \otimes w = (v_i w) \in \mathbb{C}^{km}$).

The identification of the tensor product space $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\mathbb{C}^{km}$ and the tensor product space $M_k \otimes M_m$ with M_{km}, via Kronecker product, allow us to write $(v \otimes w)(r \otimes s)^t = vr^t \otimes ws^t$, where $v \otimes w \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ is a column, $(v \otimes w)^t$ its transpose and $v, r \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $w, s \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Therefore if

$x, y \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \mathbb{C}^{km}$ we have $xy^t \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$. Here, $tr(A)$ denotes the trace of a matrix A, $\overline{A}$ stands for the matrix whose entries are $\overline{a_{ij}}$, where $\overline{a_{ij}}$ is the complex conjugate of the entry a_{ij} of A and A^t stands for the transpose of A. We shall consider the usual inner product in M_k, $\langle A, B\rangle = tr(AB^*)$, and the usual inner product in $\mathbb{C}^k$, $\langle x, y\rangle = x^t\overline{y}$. If $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i$, we shall denote by A^{t_2} the matrix $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i^t$, which is called the partial transposition of A. The image (or the range) of the matrix $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$ in $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \mathbb{C}^{km}$ shall be denoted by $\Im(A)$.

Definition 1.1. *A decomposition of a matrix $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i$, is a Hermitian decomposition if $A_i \in M_k$ and $B_i \in M_m$ are Hermitian matrices for every i.*

Definition 1.2. *A decomposition of a matrix $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \delta_i$, is a Schmidt decomposition if $\{\gamma_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset M_k$, $\{\delta_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subset M_m$ are orthonormal sets with respect to the trace inner product, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i > 0$. Also, if γ_i and δ_i are Hermitian matrices for every i, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \delta_i$ is a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A.*

Definition 1.3. (***PPT matrices***) *Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. We say that A is positive under partial transposition or simply PPT, if $A^{t_2} = Id \otimes (\cdot)^t(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i^t$ is positive semidefinite.*

Definition 1.4. (***SPC matrices***) *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. We say that A is symmetric with positive coefficients or simply SPC, if A has the following symmetric Hermitian Schmidt decomposition with positive coefficients: $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i$, with $\lambda_i > 0$, for every i.*

Definition 1.5. (***Separable Matrices***) *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$. We say that A is separable if A can be approximated in norm by matrices of the following type: $\sum_{i=1}^n C_i \otimes D_i$ such that $C_i \in M_k$ and $D_i \in M_m$ are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices for every i.*

Definition 1.6. *Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$.*

1. *Let $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^k e_i e_j^t \otimes e_j e_i^t \in M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$. This matrix satisfies $Ta \otimes b = b \otimes a$, $(a \otimes b)^t T = (b \otimes a)^t$, for every $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^k$, where $a \otimes b$ is a column vector in $\mathbb{C}^{k^2}$ and $(b \otimes a)^t$ is its transpose. This matrix is usually called the flip operator (see [14]).*
2. *Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$.*
3. *Let $F : M_k \to \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, $F(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^t) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$.*
4. *We say that $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ is a Hermitian vector if $F^{-1}(v) \in M_k$ is Hermitian.*

Definition 1.7. *Let $S : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$ be defined by*

$$S(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(A_i)F(B_i)^t,$$

where $F(A_i) \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ is a column vector and $F(B_i)^t$ is a row vector (definition 1.6). This map is usually called the "realignment map" (see [6],[12]).

Remark 1.8. *Remind that F is an isometry, i.e., $F(A)^t\overline{F(B)} = tr(AB^*)$, for every $A, B \in M_k$, where $F(A), F(B) \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and $\overline{F(B)}$ is the conjugation of the column vector $F(B)$. We also have $tr(F^{-1}(v)F^{-1}(w)^*) = v^t\overline{w}$, for every $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^{k^2}$. Therefore, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \overline{\gamma_i}$ is such that $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n\}$ is a orthonormal set of matrices of M_k if and only if $S(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \overline{v}_i^t$, where $F(\gamma_i) = v_i$ and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal set of eigenvectors of $S(A)$.*

Definition 1.9. (***Matrices Invariant under Realignment***) *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. We say that A is invariant under realignment if $A = S(A)$.*

Examples 1.10. *These examples shall be used in sections 5 and 6.*

a) *$Id \otimes Id + uu^t$ is invariant under realignment.*
By definitions 1.6 and 1.7, notice that $S(Id \otimes Id) = uu^t$. Now using property (2) of 1.11, notice that $S(uu^t) = Id \otimes Id$. Thus, $Id \otimes Id + uu^t$ is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix and $A = S(A)$.

b) *$Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T$ is invariant under realignment.*
Since $T = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} e_i e_j^t \otimes e_j e_i^t$ then $S(T) = T$. Now, the eigenvalues of T are 1 and -1 then $Id \otimes Id - T$ is positive semidefinite. Therefore $Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T$ is invariant under realignment.

Lemma 1.11. (*Properties of the Realignment map*) *Let $S : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$ be the realignment map defined in 1.7. Let $v_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, $V, W, M, N \in M_k$. Then*

(1) $S(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i^t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F^{-1}(v_i) \otimes F^{-1}(w_i)$
(2) $S^2 = Id : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$
(3) $S((V \otimes W)A(M \otimes N)) = (V \otimes M^t)S(A)(W^t \otimes N)$
(4) $S(AT)T = A^{t_2}$
(5) $S(A^{t_2}) = S(A)T$
(6) $S(AT) = S(A)^{t_2}$
(7) $S(TAT) = S(A)^t$
(8) $S(A^t) = TS(A)T$

Proof. We only need to prove properties (3), (6), (7) and (8), because (1), (2) were proved in lemma 1.7 in [4] and (4), (5) in lemma 2.1 in [4].

In order to prove properties (3) and (7), since both sides of the equation are linear on A, we just need to prove for $A = ab^t \otimes cd^t$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}^k$.

Now, $S((V \otimes W)(ab^t \otimes cd^t)(M \otimes N)) = S((Va \otimes Wc)(M^t b \otimes N^t d)^t)$. By property (1), this is equal to $F^{-1}(Va \otimes Wc) \otimes F^{-1}(M^t b \otimes N^t d) = (Vac^t W^t) \otimes (M^t bd^t N) = (V \otimes M^t)(ac^t \otimes bd^t)(W^t \otimes N) = (V \otimes M^t)S(A)(W^t \otimes N)$. Thus, property (3) is proved.

Now, $S(T(ab^t \otimes cd^t)T) = S(cd^t \otimes ab^t) = (c \otimes d)(a \otimes b)^t$ and $S((ab^t \otimes cd^t))^t = ((a \otimes b)(c \otimes d)^t)^t = (c \otimes d)(a \otimes b)^t$. Thus, property (7) is proved.

Next, by property (5), $S(S(A)^{t_2}) = S(S(A))T$. By property (2), we have $S(S(A)^{t_2}) = AT$. Again by property (2), $S(AT) = S(S(S(A)^{t_2})) = S(A)^{t_2}$. Thus, we proved property (6).

Finally, by properties (2) and (7) , $S(A^t) = S(S^2(A)^t) = S(S(TS(A)T)) = TS(A)T$. Thus, we proved property (8). □

Lemma 1.12. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ be a Hermitian matrix. If for every Hermitian vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ (definition 1.6), we also obtain $Av \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ Hermitian then A has a Hermitian decomposition of the following type $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ be an eigenvector of A associated to the eigenvalue λ. Let $w = w_1 + iw_2$, where w_1, w_2 are Hermitian vectors. Since A is a Hermitian matrix, λ is a real number. Notice that $Aw = Aw_1 + iAw_2 = \lambda w_1 + i\lambda w_2$.

Now $Aw_1 - \lambda w_1 = i(\lambda w_2 - Aw_2)$. Since $Aw_1 - \lambda w_1$ and $\lambda w_2 - Aw_2$ are Hermitian vectors, we obtain $0 = Aw_1 - \lambda w_1 = \lambda w_2 - Aw_2$.

Thus, every eigenvector of A is a linear combination of Hermitian eigenvectors of A. Thus there is a set of Hermitian eigenvectors of A that span a basis for $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and we may extract a basis from this set. We can obtain an orthonormal basis of Hermitian eigenvectors. Therefore we obtain a spectral decomposition $A = \sum_j \alpha_j v_j \overline{v_j}^t$, where α_j are real numbers and v_j Hermitian eigenvectors.

Next, $S(A) = S(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j v_j \overline{v_j}^t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j F^{-1}(v_j) \otimes \overline{F^{-1}(v_j)}$, by property (1) in lemma 1.11. Notice that $S(A)$ is a Hermitian matrix, since $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F^{-1}(v_j)$ is Hermitian for every j.

Thus, for every Hermitian vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, $S(A)v$ is also Hermitian. Therefore, again we obtain a spectral decomposition $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j w_j \overline{w_j}^t$ for $S(A)$, where λ_j are real numbers and w_j Hermitian eigenvectors.

Finally, by item 1 and 2 of lemma 1.11, $A = S(S(A)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j F^{-1}(w_j) \otimes \overline{F^{-1}(w_j)}$, where λ_j are real numbers and $F^{-1}(w_j)$ are Hermitian matrices. Thus, $F^{-1}(w_j)^t = \overline{F^{-1}(w_j)}$. Define $\gamma_j = F^{-1}(w_j)$. □

Lemma 1.13. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. A is SPC if and only if $S(A^{t_2})$ is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix.*

Proof. First, suppose $S(A^{t_2})$ is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix then $S(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$, where $\lambda_i > 0$. Therefore, $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i \otimes \overline{V_i}$, where $V_i = F^{-1}(v_i)$, by item 1 and 2 of lemma 1.11.

Thus, A^{t_2} is Hermitian and for every Hermitian vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, we also have $A^{t_2}v$ Hermitian. Thus, by lemma 1.12, A^{t_2} has a Hermitian decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and γ_i is Hermitian for every i. Thus, $S(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i w_i \overline{w_i}^t$, where $w_i = F(\gamma_i)$ and $\overline{w_i} = F(\overline{\gamma_i}) = F(\gamma_i^t)$. Notice that since every w_i is Hermitian then for every Hermitian $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$, $\overline{w_i}^t v = tr(\overline{F^{-1}(w_i)}^t F^{-1}(v)) \in \mathbb{R}$ (see remark 1.8) and $S(A^{t_2})v$ is also Hermitian. Thus, $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ has an orthonormal basis of Hermitian eigenvectors of $S(A^{t_2})$ (see proof of lemma 1.12). Therefore, we can write $S(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i \overline{r_i}^t$, where β_i are the positive eigenvalues of $S(A^{t_2})$ and r_i are the orthonormal Hermitian eigenvectors.

Thus, $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i R_i \otimes \overline{R_i}$, where $F^{-1}(r_i) = R_i$, by property (1) of lemma 1.11. So $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i R_i \otimes R_i$ is a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A, since F is an isometry and R_i is Hermitian. Therefore A is SPC.

Now, if A is SPC then A is positive semidefinite with a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i R_i \otimes R_i$, where R_i is Hermitian and $\beta_i > 0$ for every i. Now $S(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i r_i \overline{r_i}^t$ is a spectral decomposition, where $F(R_i) = r_i$, and $S(A^{t_2})$ is positive semidefinite. □

Lemma 1.14. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ be a Matrix Invariant under Realignment. Then A has a Hermitian Schimidt decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ with $\lambda_i > 0$, for every i.*

Proof. By definition 1.9, A is a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. Thus, A has a spectral decomposition $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$, with $\lambda_i > 0$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ orthonormal.

Now, by property (1) of 1.11, $A = S(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i \otimes \overline{V_i}$, where $V_i = F^{-1}(v_i)$. Therefore, Av is Hermitian for every Hermitian $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$.

Therefore exists an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ formed by Hermitian eigenvectors (see proof of lemma 1.12). Thus, we may suppose without loss of generality that $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ above are Hermitian vectors. Thus, $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ are Hermitian and orthonormal (since F is an isometry). Finally, define $\gamma_i = V_i$. □

2. Completely Reducible Maps

Let us consider the usual inner product in M_k : $\langle A, B\rangle = tr(AB^*)$. In the context of positive maps, sometimes the term *self-adjoint* means $L(A^*) = L(A)^*$ (see [7]). Here, we shall use this term with its usual meaning. We say that $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is self-adjoint if L is equal to its adjoint L^* (i.e.$\langle L(A), B\rangle = \langle A, L(B)\rangle$).

In this section, we use well known theorems of Perron-Frobenius Theory to describe some properties of completely reducible maps. These are theorems 2.3 and 2.5 in [7]: If $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a positive map then exists $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, where λ is the spectral radius of L. Moreover, if L is irreducible then this eigenvalue has multiplicity 1.

Here we prove that if $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a self-adjoint positive map then L is completely reducible if and only if L has the decomposition property (proposition 2.7). In the next section, we provide an equivalent way to prove that L has the decomposition property (lemma 3.1) and we shall give two applications of completely reducible maps in Quantum Information Theory.

Definition 2.1. (*Completely Reducible Maps*): *A positive map $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is called completely reducible, if there are orthogonal projections $V_1, \ldots, V_s \in M_k$ such that $V_iV_j = 0$ $(i \neq j)$, $V_iV = V_i$, $VM_kV = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \oplus R$, $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$ and*

(1) *$L(V_iM_kV_i) \subset V_iM_kV_i$,*
(2) *$L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible,*
(3) *$L|_R \equiv 0$.*

Definition 2.2. *: Let $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ be a self-adjoint positive map. We say that L has the decomposition property if for every $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$ and $V_1 \in M_k$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$ then $L|_R \equiv 0$, where $R = (V - V_1)M_kV_1 \oplus V_1M_k(V - V_1)$. Notice that R is the orthogonal complement of $V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1)$ in VM_kV.*

The next lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $L : VM_kV \to WM_mW$ be a positive map. If $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ and $L(\gamma) = \delta$ then $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset W_1M_mW_1$, where V_1 is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$ and W_1 is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\delta)$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ be a positive map and $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ be such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$. Then, $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$, where V_1 is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ be a self-adjoint positive map. L is irreducible if and only if the biggest eigenvalue has multiplicity 1 with respect to an eigenvector $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ such that $\Im(\gamma) = \Im(V)$.*

Proof. Since L is self-adjoint, the eigenvalues of L are real numbers. Since $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a positive map, by theorem 2.5 in [7], the spectral radius λ is an eigenvalue and exists $\gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$. Therefore the spectral radius is the biggest eigenvalue of L. Since L is irreducible, the multiplicity of λ is 1 by proposition 2.3 in [7]. Let $V_1 \in M_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$. Notice that $\Im(V_1) \subset \Im(V)$. By the previous corollary $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$. Since L is irreducible then $V_1 = V$ and $\Im(\gamma) = \Im(V)$.

Now, for the converse if $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$, $\Im(V_1) \subset \Im(V)$, then the positive map $L : V_1M_kV_1 \to V_1M_kV_1$ has an eigenvector $\gamma' \in P_k \cap V_1M_kV_1$, by proposition 2.5 in [7]. If $\Im(V_1) \neq \Im(V)$ then $\Im(\gamma') \neq \Im(\gamma)$ and γ' is not a multiple of γ. Since the multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue is 1 then γ' is associated to a different eigenvalue. Thus, γ' is orthogonal to γ, since L is self-adjoint. However, γ' and γ are positive semidefinite and $\Im(\gamma') \subset \Im(V_1) \subset \Im(V) = \Im(\gamma)$, thus they can not be orthogonal. Thus, $\Im(V_1) = \Im(V)$ and $V_1 = V$. Therefore, L is irreducible. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ be a self-adjoint positive map. Let us assume that L has the decomposition property (definition 2.2). Let $V'M_kV' \subset VM_kV$ be such that $L(V'M_kV') \subset V'M_kV'$ then $L|_{V'M_kV'}$ has also the decomposition property.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in P_k \cap V'M_kV'$ be such that $L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma, \lambda > 0$. Since $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ has the decomposition property (definition 2.2) then $L|_R \equiv 0$, where $R = (V - V_1)M_kV_1 \oplus V_1M_k(V - V_1)$ and $V_1 \in M_k$ is the orthogonal projection such that $\Im(V_1) = \Im(\gamma)$. Notice that $\Im(V_1) = \Im(\gamma) \subset \Im(V') \subset \Im(V)$.

Now, consider $R' = (V' - V_1)M_kV_1 \oplus V_1M_k(V' - V_1)$. Since $(V' - V_1)M_kV_1 = (V - V_1)(V' - V_1)M_kV_1 \subset (V - V_1)M_kV_1$ and $V_1M_k(V' - V_1) = V_1M_k(V' - V_1)(V - V_1) \subset V_1M_k(V - V_1)$ then $R' \subset R$ and $L|_{R'} \equiv 0$. Thus, $L : V'M_kV' \to V'M_kV'$ has the decomposition property. □

Proposition 2.7. *If $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a self-adjoint positive map then L has the decomposition property if and only if L is completely reducible. Moreover, the orthogonal projections $V_1, \ldots, V_s$ in definition 2.1 are unique and $s \geq$ the multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue of L.*

Proof. First, suppose that L has the decomposition property and let us prove that L is completely reducible by induction on the rank of V. Notice that if $\text{rank}(V) = 1$ then $\dim(VM_kV) = 1$ and L is irreducible on VM_kV. Thus, L is completely reducible by definition 2.1. Let us assume that $\text{rank}(V) > 1$.

Since L is a positive map then $S = \{\gamma,\ 0 \neq \gamma \in P_k \cap VM_kV,\ L(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma, \lambda > 0\} \neq \emptyset$, by proposition 2.5 in [7]. Let $\gamma \in S$ be such that $\text{rank}(\gamma) = \min\{\text{rank}(\gamma'),\ \gamma' \in S\}$.

By corollary 2.4, $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$, where V_1 is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$. Now, if $L|_{V_1M_kV_1}$ is not irreducible then exists $V_1'M_kV_1' \subset V_1M_kV_1$ with $\text{rank}(V_1') < \text{rank}(V_1)$ and $L(V_1'M_kV_1') \subset V_1'M_kV_1'$.

By proposition 2.5 in [7], exists $0 \neq \delta \in P_k \cap V_1'M_kV_1'$ such that $L(\delta) = \mu\delta$, $\mu > 0$. However, $\text{rank}(\delta) \leq \text{rank}(V_1') < \text{rank}(V_1) = \text{rank}(\gamma)$. This is a contradiction with the choice of γ. Thus, $L|_{V_1M_kV_1}$ is irreducible.

Now, if $\text{rank}(V_1) = \text{rank}(V)$ then $V_1 = V$ and $L|_{VM_kV}$ is irreducible. Therefore, $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is completely reducible by definition 2.1.

Next, suppose $\text{rank}(V_1) < \text{rank}(V)$. Since $L(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$ and L is self-adjoint then $L((V_1M_kV_1)^\perp) \subset (V_1M_kV_1)^\perp$. Therefore, $tr(L(V - V_1)V_1) = 0$. Since $L(V - V_1)$ and V_1 are positive semidefinite then $\Im(L(V - V_1)) \subset \Im(V - V_1)$. By lemma 2.3, $L((V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1)) \subset (V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1)$.

Notice that $L|_{(V-V_1)M_k(V-V_1)}$ is a self adjoint positive map with the decomposition property by lemma 2.6. Since $\text{rank}(V-V_1) < \text{rank}(V)$, by induction on the rank, $L|_{(V-V_1)M_k(V-V_1)}$ is completely reducible.

Thus, there are orthogonal projections $V_2, \ldots, V_s \in M_k$ satisfying $V_iV_j = 0$ $(i \neq j)$, $V_i(V - V_1) = V_i$ $(i \geq 2)$, $(V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1) = V_2M_kV_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \oplus \widetilde{R}$ with $\widetilde{R} \perp V_2M_kV_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$, $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible for $2 \leq i \leq s$ and $L|_{\widetilde{R}} \equiv 0$.

Now, since L has the decomposition property then $VM_kV = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (V-V_1)M_k(V-V_1) \oplus R$, where $L|_R \equiv 0$ and $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1)$.

Thus, we obtained $VM_kV = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus V_2M_kV_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \oplus \widetilde{R} \oplus R$ such that $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $L|_{\widetilde{R}\oplus R} \equiv 0$. Notice that $V_iV_j = 0$, for $2 \leq i \neq j \leq s$ and $V_1V_i = 0$, for $2 \leq i \leq s$, because $\Im(V_i) \subset \Im(V - V_1)$.

Notice that $\widetilde{R} \perp V_2M_kV_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$ and $\widetilde{R} \perp V_1M_kV_1$, because $\widetilde{R} \subset (V - V_1)M_k(V - V_1)$. Therefore $\widetilde{R} \oplus R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus V_2M_kV_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$ and $L|_{\widetilde{R}\oplus R} \equiv 0$. Thus, L is completely reducible.

For the converse let us assume that L is completely reducible and let us prove that L has the decomposition property. Thus, $VM_kV = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \oplus R$, $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$, $L(V_iM_kV_i) \subset V_iM_kV_i$, $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible and $L|_R \equiv 0$.

Assume $L(\gamma') = \lambda\gamma'$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\gamma' \in P_k \cap VM_kV$ and let $V' \in M_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma')$. By corollary 2.4, we have $L(V'M_kV') \subset V'M_kV'$.

Notice that, $\gamma' = \gamma_1' + \ldots + \gamma_s'$, where $\gamma_i' \in V_iM_kV_i$. Now, since $\Im(\gamma_i') \subset \Im(V_i)$ and $\Im(V_i) \perp \Im(V_j)$, for $i \neq j$, then each $\gamma_i' \in P_k$. Since each $V_iM_kV_i$ is an invariant subspace of L then we must also conclude that $L(\gamma_i') = \lambda\gamma_i'$. Notice that not all $\gamma_i' = 0$. Assume without loss of generality that $\gamma' = \gamma_1' + \ldots + \gamma_m'$ and $\gamma_i' \neq 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq m \leq s$.

Now, if for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\Im(\gamma_i') \neq \Im(V_i)$ then $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is not irreducible, by corollary 2.4, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\Im(\gamma_i') = \Im(V_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $V_1 + \cdots + V_m = V'$.

Next, $VM_kV = V'M_kV' \oplus (V-V')M_k(V-V') \oplus R'$, where $R' = (V-V')M_kV' \oplus V'M_k(V-V')$. Notice that $R' \perp V'M_kV' \oplus (V - V')M_k(V - V')$.

Now, $V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_mM_kV_m \subset V'M_kV'$ and $V_{m+1}M_kV_{m+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \subset (V-V')M_k(V-V')$, therefore $R' \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$ and $R' \subset R$. Therefore, $L|_{R'} \equiv 0$ and L has the decomposition property by definition 2.2.

Finally, if $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$ is a self adjoint completely reducible map then the non-null eigenvalues of L are the non-null eigenvalues of $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$. Since $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible then the multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue is 1 by lemma 2.5. Therefore each $L|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ has at most one biggest eigenvalue of L. Thus, $s \geq$ the multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue of $L : VM_kV \to VM_kV$. Now, if $L(V''M_kV'') \subset V''M_kV''$ and $L|_{V''M_kV''}$ is irreducible then by lemma 2.5, there is $\gamma'' \in P_k \cap V''M_kV''$ such that $L(\gamma'') = \lambda\gamma''$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\Im(\gamma'') = \Im(V'')$. As we noticed in the second part of this proof, there is $V_iM_kV_i \subset V''M_kV''$ (V'' is a sum of some V_i's). Since $L(V_iM_kV_i) \subset V_iM_kV_i$ then $L|_{V''M_kV''}$ is irreducible if and only if $V'' = V_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. □

3. Two Applications in Quantum Information Theory

Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. Thus, A has a Hermitian decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i$ (see [3]). Let $F_A : M_m \to M_k$ be $F_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(B_iX)A_i$ and $G_A : M_k \to M_m$ be $G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(A_iX)B_i$. These maps are adjoints with respect to the trace inner product (Since A_i, B_i are Hermitian matrices then $F_A(Y^*) = F_A(Y)^*$, for every $Y \in M_m$. Notice that if $X \in M_k$ and $Y \in M_m$ then $tr(A(X \otimes Y^*)) = tr(G_A(X)Y^*) = tr(XF_A(Y^*)) = tr(XF_A(Y)^*)$).

These maps are also positive maps and $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is a self-adjoint positive map. Notice that if $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{k^2}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M_k formed by Hermitian matrices then $A = \sum_{i=1}^{k^2} \gamma_i \otimes G_A(\gamma_i)$.

In this section, we assume that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. This is a very strong restriction. However, in the next section, we shall prove that if A is PPT or SPC or invariant under realignment then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is indeed completely reducible. We begin this section with a simple lemma that provides an equivalent way to prove that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. This lemma shall be used in the next section in order to prove these theorems.

Here, we assume that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible and we give two applications in Quantum Information Theory. The first application is the reduction of the separability problem to the weakly irreducible case (corollary 3.5) and the second is proposition 3.6 which grants the separability of A, if $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ has only eigenvalues 1 or 0. These theorems were proved in [3], when A is PPT or SPC. Thus, we extend this theorems for matrices invariant under realignment.

In section 5, we present our last application concerning mutually unbiased bases using this proposition 3.6 for a matrix invariant under realignment (see proposition 5.1 and theorem 5.6).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. Thus, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible if and only if for every $\gamma \in P_k$ such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$, we have $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) + (Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)$, where $V_1 \in M_k, W_1 \in M_m$ are orthogonal projections onto $\Im(\gamma), \Im(G_A(\gamma))$, respectively.*

Proof. Suppose $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ has the decomposition property (definition 2.2) by proposition 2.7.

If $\gamma \in P_k$ is such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$, then $M_k = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (Id - V_1)M_k(Id - V_1) \oplus R$, where $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (Id - V_1)M_k(Id - V_1)$ and $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$, where $V_1 \in M_k$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$.

Next, let $W_1 \in M_m$ be the orthogonal projection onto the $\Im(G_A(\gamma))$. By lemma 2.3, we have $G_A(V_1M_kV_1) \subset W_1M_mW_1$, because G_A is a positive map, since $A \in P_{km}$.

Now, $\langle G_A(Id - V_1), G_A(\gamma)\rangle = \langle Id - V_1, F_A \circ G_A(\gamma)\rangle = \lambda\langle Id - V_1, \gamma\rangle = 0$. Since $G_A(Id - V_1)$ and $G_A(\gamma)$ are postive semidefinite then $\Im(G_A(Id - V_1)) \perp \Im(G_A(\gamma)) = \Im(W_1)$. Thus, $\Im(G_A(Id -$

$V_1)) \subset \Im(Id - W_1)$. Again by lemma 2.3, we have $G_A((Id-V_1)M_k(Id-V_1)) \subset (Id-W_1)M_m(Id-W_1)$.

Now since $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and F_A,G_A are adjoint maps then $G_A|_R \equiv 0$.

Now, let $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V_1M_kV_1$ formed by Hermitian matrices, $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $(Id-V_1)M_k(Id-V_1)$ formed by Hermitian matrices and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t\}$ be an orthonormal basis of R formed by Hermitian matrices. Then $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \otimes G_A(\gamma_i) + \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \otimes G_A(\delta_i) + \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \otimes G_A(\alpha_i)$. Since $G_A(\alpha_i) = 0$ then $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \otimes G_A(\gamma_i) + \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \otimes G_A(\delta_i)$.

Now, since $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r\} \subset V_1M_kV_1$ then $G_A(\gamma_i) \in W_1M_mW_1$ and since $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s\} \subset (Id - V_1)M_k(Id - V_1)$ then $G_A(\delta_i) \in (Id - W_1)M_m(Id - W_1)$. Therefore, $(V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \otimes G_A(\gamma_i)$ and $(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1) = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \otimes G_A(\delta_i)$ and $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) + (Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)$.

Now, for the converse, assume that if $\gamma \in P_k$ is such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$ then $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) + (Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)$, where V_1, W_1 are orthogonal projections onto $\Im(\gamma), \Im(G_A(\gamma))$, respectively.

Let $M_k = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (Id - V_1)M_k(Id - V_1) \oplus R$, $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus (Id - V_1)M_k(Id - V_1)$.

Notice that $G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$. Therefore, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ has the decomposition property (definition 2.2) and by proposition 2.7, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. □

Definition 3.2. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. We say that A is weakly irreducible if for every orthogonal projections $V_1, V_2 \in M_k$ and $W_1, W_2 \in M_m$ such that $V_2 = Id - V_1$, $W_2 = Id - W_1$ and $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) + (V_2 \otimes W_2)A(V_2 \otimes W_2)$, we obtain $(V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) = 0$ or $(V_2 \otimes W_2)A(V_2 \otimes W_2) = 0$.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\gamma_i \otimes \delta_i$ be a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n > 0$. If $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *A is weakly irreducible,*
2. *$s = 1$ in definition 2.1 with $L = F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$,*
3. *$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $\Im(\gamma_i) \subset \Im(\gamma_1)$, $\Im(\delta_i) \subset \Im(\delta_1)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Notice that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_i) = \lambda_i^2\gamma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, the biggest eigenvalue of $F_A \circ G_A$ is λ_1^2. By definition 2.1, $M_k = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s \oplus R$, $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_iM_kV_i}$ is irreducible and $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$, where $R \perp V_1M_kV_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_sM_kV_s$. Since the eigenvalues of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ are the eigenvalues of $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_iM_kV_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq s$, then λ_1^2 is the biggest eigenvalue of some $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_iM_kV_i}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that exists $0 \neq \gamma \in P_k \cap V_1M_kV_1$ such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda_1^2\gamma$ and $\Im(\gamma) = \Im(V_1)$, by lemma 2.5. Thus, by lemma 3.1, $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) + (Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)$, where V_1, W_1 are orthogonal projections onto $\Im(\gamma), \Im(G_A(\gamma))$, respectively.

First, let us assume that A is weakly irreducible, then or $(V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) = 0$ or $(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1) = 0$. Notice that if $(V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1) = 0$ then $A = (Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)$ and $G_A(\gamma) = 0$, since $\gamma \in V_1M_kV_1$. Therefore, $0 = F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda_1^2\gamma$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1)A(Id - V_1 \otimes Id - W_1) = 0$ and $A = (V_1 \otimes W_1)A(V_1 \otimes W_1)$. In this case, $G_A|_{(V_1M_kV_1)^\perp} \equiv 0$ and $F_A \circ G_A|_{(V_1M_kV_1)^\perp} \equiv 0$. Thus, $s = 1$ in definition 2.1.

Second, suppose that $s = 1$ in definition 2.1 then $M_k = V_1M_kV_1 \oplus R$, $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_1M_kV_1}$ is irreducible and $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$, where $R = (V_1M_kV_1)^\perp$. Thus, $\gamma_i \in V_1M_kV_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, since $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_i) = \lambda_i^2\gamma_i$ and $F_A \circ G_A(M_k) = F_A \circ G_A(V_1M_kV_1) \subset V_1M_kV_1$. By lemma 2.3, $G_A(V_1M_kV_1) \subset W_1M_mW_1$, since $\Im(G_A(\gamma)) = \Im(W_1)$. Thus, $\lambda_i\delta_i = G_A(\gamma_i) \in W_1M_mW_1$ and $\Im(\delta_i) \subset \Im(W_1)$.

Next, since $F_A \circ G_A : V_1 M_k V_1 \to V_1 M_k V_1$ is irreducible then the multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue is 1 by lemma 2.5, thus $\lambda_1^2 > \lambda_2^2$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. Moreover, γ must be a multiple of γ_1, because $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_1) = \lambda_1^2 \gamma_1$.

Thus, $G_A(\gamma)$ is also a multiple of δ_1. Therefore, $\Im(\gamma_i) \subset \Im(V_1) = \Im(\gamma) = \Im(\gamma_1)$ and $\Im(\delta_i) \subset \Im(W_1) = \Im(G_A(\gamma)) = \Im(\delta_1)$.

Finally, if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and $\Im(\gamma_i) \subset \Im(\gamma_1)$, $\Im(\delta_i) \subset \Im(\delta_1)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ then A is weakly irreducible by theorem 44 in [3]. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. If $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $A = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (V_i \otimes W_i) A (V_i \otimes W_i)$ such that*

1. *$V_1, \ldots, V_s \in M_k$ are orthogonal projections such that $V_i V_j = 0$*
2. *$W_1, \ldots, W_s \in M_m$ are orthogonal projections such that $W_i W_j = 0$*
3. *$(V_i \otimes W_i) A (V_i \otimes W_i)$ is weakly irreducible and non-null for every i.*
4. *$s \geq$ multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$.*

Proof. Since $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $M_k = V_1 M_k V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_s M_k V_s \oplus R$, $F_A \circ G_A(V_i M_k V_i) \subset V_i M_k V_i$, $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ is irreducible, $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and $s \geq$ multiplicity of the biggest eigenvalue of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$, by proposition 2.7.

By lemma 2.5, there is $\gamma_1^j \in P_k \cap V_j M_k V_j$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, such that γ_1^j is an eigenvector of $F_A \circ G_A : V_j M_k V_j \to V_j M_k V_j$ associated to the unique biggest eigenvalue and $\Im(\gamma_1^j) = \Im(V_j)$. Since G_A is a positive map then $G_A(\gamma_1^j) \in P_m$.

By lemma 2.3, $G_A(V_j M_k V_j) \subset W_j M_k W_j$, where W_j is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(G_A(\gamma_1^j))$. Notice that $V_j M_k V_j \perp V_i M_k V_i$, for $i \neq j$, since $V_i V_j = 0$. Therefore, $\langle G_A(\gamma_1^j), G_A(\gamma_1^i) \rangle = \langle \gamma_1^j, F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_1^i) \rangle = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Thus, $W_i W_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Let $\{\gamma_1^j, \ldots, \gamma_{r_j}^j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V_j M_k V_j$ formed by Hermitian matrices. Let $\{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of R formed by Hermitian matrices. Thus, $\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \{\gamma_1^j, \ldots, \gamma_{r_j}^j\} \cup \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_r\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M_k formed by Hermitian matrices. Let $A_j = \gamma_1^j \otimes G_A(\gamma_1^j) + \ldots + \gamma_{r_j}^j \otimes G_A(\gamma_{r_j}^j)$. Thus, $A = \sum_{j=1}^{s} A_j + \delta_1 \otimes G_A(\delta_1) + \cdots + \delta_r \otimes G_A(\delta_r)$

Now, since $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and F_A, G_A are adjoints then $G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and $A = \sum_{j=1}^{s} A_j$.

Next, $(V_j \otimes W_j) A (V_j \otimes W_j) = A_j$, since $\gamma_l^j \in V_j M_k V_j$, $G_A(\gamma_l^j) \in W_j M_m W_j$, $V_i V_j = 0$ and $W_i W_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore, $A_j \in P_{km}$.

Notice that, $F_{A_j} \circ G_{A_j}|_{V_j M_k V_j} = F_A \circ G_A|_{V_j M_k V_j}$ which is irreducible. Therefore $A_j \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Next, $M_k = V_j M_k V_j \oplus (V_j M_k V_j)^{\perp}$ and $F_{A_j} \circ G_{A_j}((V_j M_k V_j)^{\perp}) = 0$. Therefore, by definition 2.1, $F_{A_j} \circ G_{A_j} : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible with $s = 1$. Finally, by item 2 of proposition 3.3, A_j is weakly irreducible. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let A be the matrix of proposition 3.4. Then A is separable if and only if each $(V_i \otimes W_i) A (V_i \otimes W_i)$ is separable. Thus, for this type of A the separability problem is reduced to the weakly irreducible case.*

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. If $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible with all eigenvalues equal to 1 or 0 then exists a unique Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \otimes \delta_i$, such that $\gamma_i \in P_k, \delta_i \in P_m$. Therefore, A is separable.*

Proof. Suppose the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is n. Since $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then there are orthogonal projections $V_1, \ldots, V_s$ such that $\Im(V_i) \perp \Im(V_j)$, $M_k = V_1 M_k V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_s M_k V_s \oplus R$, $F_A \circ G_A(V_i M_k V_i) \subset V_i M_k V_i$, $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ is irreducible, $F_A \circ G_A|_R \equiv 0$ and $s \geq n$, by definition 2.1 and proposition 2.7. Remind that each $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ has a unique biggest eigenvalue, since $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ is irreducible by lemma 2.5. Moreover, the eigenvalues of

$F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ are 1 or 0. Thus, $s = n$ and for each $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i M_k V_i}$ exists a unique normalized eigenvector $\gamma_i \in P_k$ such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i$ and $\Im(\gamma_i) = \Im(V_i)$, by lemma 2.5.

Notice that $\Im(\gamma_i) = \Im(V_i) \perp \Im(V_j) = \Im(\gamma_j)$, therefore $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ are orthonormal. Complete this set to obtain an orthonormal basis $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \gamma_{n+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k^2}\}$ of M_k formed by Hermitian matrices. Notice that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_j) = 0$, for $j > n$. Since F_A and G_A are adjoint maps, $G_A(\gamma_j) = 0$ for $j > n$.

Thus, $A = \gamma_1 \otimes G_A(\gamma_1) + \ldots + \gamma_{k^2} \otimes G_A(\gamma_{k^2}) = \gamma_1 \otimes G_A(\gamma_1) + \ldots + \gamma_n \otimes G_A(\gamma_n)$. Notice that $\langle G_A(\gamma_i), G_A(\gamma_j)\rangle = \langle \gamma_i, F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_j)\rangle = \langle \gamma_i, \gamma_j\rangle$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, therefore $G_A(\gamma_1), \ldots, G_A(\gamma_n)$ are orthonormal too. Remind that G_A is a positive map then $G_A(\gamma_i) \in P_m$. Define $\delta_i = G_A(\gamma_i)$.

Finally, if $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i' \otimes \delta_i'$ is a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition with $\gamma_i' \in P_k, \delta_i' \in P_m$ then $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_i') = \gamma_i'$. Thus, $F_A \circ G_A(V_i' M_k V_i') \subset V_i' M_k V_i'$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where V_i' is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma_i')$, by corollary 2.4. Notice that each $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i' M_k V_i'}$ has one eigenvalue equal to 1 and the others equal to 0. Thus, $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i' M_k V_i'}$ is irreducible by lemma 2.5. Now, each V_i' must be equal to some V_j, by proposition 2.7.

Since each $F_A \circ G_A|_{V_j M_k V_j} = F_A \circ G_A|_{V_i' M_k V_i'}$ has only one eigenvalue equal to 1 then γ_i' is a multiple of γ_j, but both matrices are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices and normalized then $\gamma_i' = \gamma_j$. Thus, each γ_i' is equal to some γ_j and this Hermitian Schmdit decomposition is unique. □

4. Examples and Counterexamples.

In this section, we prove that if $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$ is positive under partial transposition (definition 1.3) or symmetric with positive coefficients (definition 1.4) or invariant under realignment (definition 1.9) then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. Thus, the theorems proved in the previous section hold for these three types of matrices. We shall search in section 6 for other types of matrices that could have the same property.

Here, we also present two examples of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices in $M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$ such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.

4.1. **Examples.** Remind that P_k stands for the set of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices in M_k. Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$ and $A = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i$. Let $F_A : M_m \to M_k$ be $F_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(B_i X) A_i$ and $G_A : M_k \to M_m$ be $G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n tr(A_i X) B_i$. Recall that $tr(A(X \otimes Y)) = tr(G_A(X)Y) = tr(X F_A(Y))$, for every $X \in M_k$ and $Y \in M_m$. These are adjoint positive maps (see the introduction of section 3 for more details). Thus, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is a self-adjoint positive map.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$, $A \in P_{km}$. If A is PPT then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in P_k \cap V M_k V$ be such that $F_A(G_A(\gamma)) = \lambda\gamma$, $\lambda > 0$. Let $V_1 \in M_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$. Let $W_1 \in M_m$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(G_A(\gamma))$.

By lemma 2.3, we have $G_A(V_1 M_k V_1) \subset W_1 M_m W_1$ and $F_A(W_1 M_m W_1) \subset V_1 M_k V_1$.

If $V_2 = Id - V_1$ and $W_2 = Id - W_1$ then $A = \sum_{i,j,k,s=1}^2 (V_i \otimes W_j) A (V_k \otimes W_s)$.

Notice that $tr(A(V_1 \otimes W_2)) = tr(G_A(V_1) W_2) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_1 \otimes W_2) = (V_1 \otimes W_2)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{km}$ and $V_1 \otimes W_2 \in P_{km}$. Notice that $tr(A(V_2 \otimes W_1)) = tr(V_2 F_A(W_1)) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_2 \otimes W_1) = (V_2 \otimes W_1)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{km}$ and $V_2 \otimes W_1 \in P_{km}$.

Therefore, $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (V_i \otimes W_i) A (V_j \otimes W_j)$.

Next, $0 = (A(V_1 \otimes W_2))^{t_2} = (Id \otimes W_2^t) A^{t_2} (V_1 \otimes Id)$ and $0 = tr((Id \otimes W_2^t) A^{t_2} (V_1 \otimes Id)) = tr(A^{t_2}(V_1 \otimes W_2^t))$. Since A is PPT then A^{t_2} is positive semidefinite and $A^{t_2}(V_1 \otimes W_2^t) = (V_1 \otimes W_2^t) A^{t_2} = 0$. Analogously, we obtain $A^{t_2}(V_2 \otimes W_1^t) = (V_2 \otimes W_1^t) A^{t_2} = 0$.

Thus, $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 (V_i \otimes W_j^t) A^{t_2} (V_j \otimes W_i^t)$ and $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i=1}^2 (V_i \otimes W_i^t) A^{t_2} (V_i \otimes W_i^t)$.

Finally, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes W_i)A(V_i \otimes W_i)$ and by lemma 3.1, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$, $A \in P_{k^2}$. If A is SPC then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible.*

Proof. If A is SPC then $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i$ is a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A, with $\lambda_i > 0$, by definition 1.4. Thus, $G_A : M_k \to M_k$, $G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i tr(\gamma_i X)$, is self-adjoint with non-negative eigenvalues (the eigenvalues are λ_i or 0), which implies that the eigenvectors of G_A and $G_A^2 = F_A \circ G_A$ are the same.

Let $\gamma \in P_k \cap V M_k V$ be such that $F_A(G_A(\gamma)) = \lambda^2 \gamma$, $\lambda > 0$. Thus, $G_A(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$. Let $V_1 \in M_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$. By lemma 2.3, we have $G_A(V_1 M_k V_1) \subset V_1 M_k V_1$.

If $V_2 = Id - V_1$ then $A = \sum_{i,j,k,s=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j)A(V_k \otimes V_s)$.

Notice that $tr(A(V_1 \otimes V_2)) = tr(G_A(V_1)V_2) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_1 \otimes V_2) = (V_1 \otimes V_2)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{k^2}$ and $V_1 \otimes V_2 \in P_{k^2}$. Notice that $tr(A(V_2 \otimes V_1)) = tr(V_2 F_A(V_1)) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_2 \otimes V_1) = (V_2 \otimes V_1)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{k^2}$ and $V_2 \otimes V_1 \in P_{k^2}$.

Therefore, $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i)A(V_j \otimes V_j)$.

Next, $0 = (A(V_1 \otimes V_2))^{t_2} = (Id \otimes V_2^t)A^{t_2}(V_1 \otimes Id)$ and $0 = S((Id \otimes V_2^t)A^{t_2}(V_1 \otimes Id)) = (Id \otimes V_1^t)S(A^{t_2})(V_2 \otimes Id)$, by property 3 of lemma 1.11.

Now, $0 = tr((Id \otimes V_1^t)S(A^{t_2})(V_2 \otimes Id)) = tr(S(A^{t_2})(V_2 \otimes V_1^t))$. Since $S(A^{t_2})$ is positive semidefinite, by lemma 1.13, $S(A^{t_2})(V_2 \otimes V_1^t) = (V_2 \otimes V_1^t)S(A^{t_2}) = 0$. Analogously, we obtain $S(A^{t_2})(V_1 \otimes V_2^t) = (V_1 \otimes V_2^t)S(A^{t_2}) = 0$.

Thus, $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j^t)A^{t_2}(V_j \otimes V_i^t)$ and $S(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j^t)S(A^{t_2})(V_j \otimes V_i^t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)S(A^{t_2})(V_i \otimes V_i^t)$, by property 3 of lemma 1.11.

Finally, $A^{t_2} = S^2(A^{t_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)S^2(A^{t_2})(V_i \otimes V_i^t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)A^{t_2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)$, by properties 2 and 3 of lemma 1.11. Therefore, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i)A(V_i \otimes V_i)$. Thus, by lemma 3.1, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$, $A \in P_{k^2}$. If A is invariant under realignment then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible.*

Proof. Let A be invariant under realigment and let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ be a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A, $\lambda_i > 0$ for every i, by lemma 1.14.

Notice that $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i$ and $G_{A^{t_2}} : M_k \to M_k$, $G_{A^{t_2}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i tr(\gamma_i X)$, is self-adjoint with non-negative eigenvalues (the eigenvalues are λ_i or 0), which implies that the eigenvectors of $G_{A^{t_2}}$ and $G_{A^{t_2}}^2 = F_{A^{t_2}} \circ G_{A^{t_2}}$ are the same. Notice also that $F_{A^{t_2}} \circ G_{A^{t_2}}(X) = F_A \circ G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 tr(\gamma_i X)\gamma_i$.

Let $\gamma \in P_k \cap V M_k V$ be such that $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma) = \lambda^2 \gamma$, $\lambda > 0$. Thus, $F_{A^{t_2}} \circ G_{A^{t_2}}(\gamma) = G_{A^{t_2}}^2(\gamma) = \lambda^2 \gamma$ then $G_{A^{t_2}}(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma$.

Next, $G_{A^{t_2}}(X)^t = G_A(X)$ then $G_A(\gamma) = \lambda\gamma^t$ and $F_A(\gamma^t) = \lambda\gamma$. Let $V_1 \in M_k$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma)$. By lemma 2.3, we have $G_A(V_1 M_k V_1) \subset V_1^t M_k V_1^t$ and $F_A(V_1^t M_k V_1^t) \subset V_1 M_k V_1$. Now, if $V_2 = Id - V_1$ then $A = \sum_{i,j,k,s=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j^t)A(V_k \otimes V_s^t)$.

Notice that $tr(A(V_1 \otimes V_2^t)) = tr(G_A(V_1)V_2^t) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_1 \otimes V_2^t) = (V_1 \otimes V_2^t)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{k^2}$ and $V_1 \otimes V_2^t \in P_{k^2}$. Notice that $tr(A(V_2 \otimes V_1^t)) = tr(V_2 F_A(V_1^t)) = 0$. Thus, $A(V_2 \otimes V_1^t) = (V_2 \otimes V_1^t)A = 0$, since $A \in P_{k^2}$ and $V_2 \otimes V_1^t \in P_{k^2}$.

Therefore, $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_j \otimes V_j^t)$.

Next, $S(A) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} S((V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_j \otimes V_j^t)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j^t)S(A)(V_i \otimes V_j^t)$, by property 3 of lemma 1.11.

Since $A = S(A)$, we have $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_j^t)A(V_i \otimes V_j^t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2}(V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_i \otimes V_i^t)$.

Therefore, by lemma 3.1, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. □

4.2. **Counterexamples.**

Lemma 4.4. *Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ be the vector defined in 1.6 and $A = uu^t \in M_k \otimes M_k$. The linear transformation $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.*

Proof. By definition 1.6, $u = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes e_i$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$. Thus, $A = uu^t = \sum_{i,j=1}^k e_i e_j^t \otimes e_i e_j^t$ and $G_A(X) = F_A(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k e_i e_j^t tr(e_i e_j^t X) = X^t$.

Now, the identity map $Id = F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ has null kernel and every matrix is an eigenvector. Thus, $Id : M_k \to M_k$ does not have the decomposition property (definition 2.2) and $F_A \circ G_A$ is not completely reducible by proposition 2.7. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $k \geq 3$. Let $v_1, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ be such that $v_1^t = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $e_3^t = (0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Consider $v = v_1 \otimes \overline{v_1} + e_3 \otimes e_3 \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. Let A be the positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix $A = v\overline{v}^t + S(\overline{v}v^t) \in M_k \otimes M_k$ then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.*

Proof. Let $\gamma_1 = v_1\overline{v_1}^t, \gamma_2 = \frac{e_3\overline{v_1}^t + v_1 e_3^t}{\sqrt{2}}, \gamma_3 = \frac{i(e_3\overline{v_1}^t - v_1 e_3^t)}{\sqrt{2}}, \gamma_4 = e_3 e_3^t$. Notice that $v\overline{v}^t = \sum_{i=1}^4 \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$.

Now, $S(\overline{v}v^t) = \overline{V} \otimes V$, where $V = F^{-1}(v) = v_1\overline{v_1}^t + e_3 e_3^t$, by item 1 of lemma 1.11. Thus, $A = \sum_{i=1}^4 \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t + \overline{V} \otimes V$.

Since $0 = tr(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = tr(\gamma_1\gamma_3) = tr(\gamma_1\gamma_4) = tr(\gamma_1\overline{V})$ then $G_A(\gamma_1) = \gamma_1^t$ and $F_A(\gamma_1^t) = \gamma_1$. Therefore $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_1) = \gamma_1$. Next, $0 = tr(\gamma_2\gamma_1) = tr(\gamma_2\gamma_3) = tr(\gamma_2\gamma_4) = tr(\gamma_2\overline{V})$. Thus, $G_A(\gamma_2) = \gamma_2^t$ and $F_A(\gamma_2^t) = \gamma_2$, thus $F_A \circ G_A(\gamma_2) = \gamma_2$.

Finally, notice that $\gamma_2 \in (Id - V_1)M_k V_1 \oplus V_1 M_k (Id - V_1) = R$, where V_1 is the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma_1)$. Therefore $F_A \circ G_A|_R \neq 0$. Thus, $F_A \circ G_A$ does not have the decomposition property(definition 2.2) and $F_A \circ G_A$ is not completely reducible by proposition 2.7. □

5. Last Application: The Last Mutually Unbiased Basis

In this section, we obtain a new proof of the following theorem proved in [15]: If there is a set of k mutually unbiased bases of $\mathbb{C}^k$ then exists another orthonormal basis which is mutually unbiased with the first k. Our proof relies on proposition 5.1. We also proved that this last basis is unique up to multiplication by complex numbers of norm 1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$, $A \in P_{k^2}$. If A is invariant under realignment and $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ has n eigenvalues equal to 1 and the others 0 then*

a) *exists an orthonormal set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that $A = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i\overline{v_i}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}v_i^t$.*
b) *The orthonormal set of item a) is unique up to multiplication by complex numbers of norm one.*

Proof. Let A be invariant under realignment and let $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i A_i \otimes A_i^t$ be a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A, $\lambda_i > 0$ for every i, by lemma 1.14. Since λ_i^2 are the non null eigenvalues of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ associated to the eigenvectors A_i then $\lambda_i = 1$. Thus, for every eigenvector γ of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ associated to 1, we have $G_A(\gamma) = \gamma^t$.

By lemma 4.3, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. By proposition 3.6, exists a unique Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A , $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \otimes \delta_i$, such that $\gamma_i \in P_k, \delta_i \in P_k$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Notice that $\gamma_i^t = G_A(\gamma_i) = \delta_i$. Therefore, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ is the unique Hermitian Schmidt decomposition of A such $\gamma_i \in P_k$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let V_i be the orthogonal projection onto $\Im(\gamma_i)$. Since $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ is an orthonormal set and each $\gamma_i \in P_k$ then $\Im(V_i) \perp \Im(V_j)$. Thus, $(V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_i \otimes V_i^t) = \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$.

Now, let $F(\gamma_i) = r_i$(see definition 1.6). By definition 1.7, $r_i\overline{r_i}^t = S(\gamma_i \otimes \overline{\gamma_i})$. Since γ_i is Hermitian then $\gamma_i \otimes \overline{\gamma_i} = \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ and $r_i\overline{r_i}^t = S(\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t) = S((V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_i \otimes V_i^t))$.

Next, by property 3 of lemma 1.11 $S((V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_i \otimes V_i^t)) = (V_i \otimes V_i^t)S(A)(V_i \otimes V_i^t)$. Since $S(A) = A$ then $r_i\overline{r_i}^t = (V_i \otimes V_i^t)A(V_i \otimes V_i^t) = \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$.

Therefore, $\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ has rank 1 and γ_i has rank 1. Thus, $\gamma_i = v_i\overline{v_i}^t$ and $A = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i\overline{v_i}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}v_i^t$.

Since $tr(\gamma_i\gamma_j) = \delta_{ij}$ then $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal set.

Finally, suppose another orthonormal set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ satisfy $A = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j\overline{w_j}^t \otimes \overline{w_j}w_j^t$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ is unique then for each p there is q such that $w_p\overline{w_p}^t = v_q\overline{v_q}^t$. Therefore $w_p = cv_q$ with $|c| = 1$. □

Definition 5.2. (***Mutually Unbiased Bases***) *Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and $\{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ be orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^k$. We say that they are mutually unbiased if $|\langle v_i, w_j\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{k}$ for every i, j.*

Definition 5.3. *Let $\alpha = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$. Let us define $A_\alpha \in M_k \otimes M_k$ as $A_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i\overline{v_i}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}v_i^t$. Notice that A_α is invariant under realignment.*

Lemma 5.4. *If α, β are orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$ then they are mutually unbiased if and only if $A_\alpha A_\beta = A_\beta A_\alpha = \frac{1}{k}uu^t$ (Recall the definition of u in 1.6).*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$, $\beta = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$ and $A_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i\overline{v_i}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}v_i^t$, $A_\beta = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j\overline{w_j}^t \otimes \overline{w_j}w_j^t$.

Now $A_\alpha A_\beta = \sum_{i,j=1}^k v_i\overline{w_j}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}w_j^t(\overline{v_i}^t w_j)(v_i^t\overline{w_j})$.

If α, β are mutually unbiased then for every i, j, we have $(\overline{v_i}^t w_j)(v_i^t\overline{w_j}) = |\langle v_i, w_j\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{k}$.

Therefore, $A_\alpha A_\beta = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \frac{1}{k}v_i\overline{w_j}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}w_j^t = \frac{1}{k}uu^t$, because $u = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \otimes \overline{v_i} = \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{w_j} \otimes w_j$.

Now suppose that $A_\alpha A_\beta = \frac{1}{k}uu^t$.

Therefore $\sum_{i,j=1}^k \lambda_{ij}v_i\overline{w_j}^t \otimes \overline{v_i}w_j^t = \frac{1}{k}uu^t$, where $\lambda_{ij} = |\langle v_i, w_j\rangle|^2$.

Next, $\frac{1}{k}Id \otimes Id = S(\frac{1}{k}uu^t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \lambda_{ij}v_i\overline{v_i}^t \otimes \overline{w_j}w_j^t$.

Notice that $\{v_i \otimes \overline{w_j},\ 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. Therefore λ_{ij} are the eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{k}Id \otimes Id$, thus $\lambda_{ij} = \frac{1}{k}$. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k+1}$ be orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^k$. If they are pairwise mutually unbiased then $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{\alpha_i} = Id \otimes Id + uu^t \in M_k \otimes M_k$.*

Proof. Since $A_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, A_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ commute, by lemma 5.4, there is a common basis of $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ formed by orthonormal eigenvectors. Since $A_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, A_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ are orthogonal projections and their pairwise multiplications are equal to $\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}}$, by lemma 5.4, the intersection of their images is generated only by u. Notice that each A_{α_i} has rank k.

Thus, every A_{α_i} can be written as $\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{l=(i-1)(k-1)+1}^{i(k-1)} r_l\overline{r_l}^t$, where $r_1, \ldots, r_{k^2-1}, \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}$ is a common orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

Finally, $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{\alpha_i} = (k+1)\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{l=1}^{k^2-1} r_l\overline{r_l}^t = k\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k^2-1} r_i\overline{r_i}^t = uu^t + Id \otimes Id$. □

Theorem 5.6. *If $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains k mutually unbiased bases then $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains $k+1$. Moreover, this last basis is unique up to multiplication by complex numbers of norm one.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ be orthonormal bases of $\mathbb{C}^k$, which are pairwise mutually unbiased. Consider $B = Id \otimes Id + uu^t - \sum_{i=1}^k A_{\alpha_i}$.

We saw in the proof of the previous lemma that we can write $Id \otimes Id + uu^t = (k+1)\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{l=1}^{k^2-1} r_l\overline{r_l}^t$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k A_{\alpha_i} = k\frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{l=1}^{k(k-1)} r_l\overline{r_l}^t$, where $r_1, \ldots, r_{k^2-1}, \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. Thus, $B = \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}} + \sum_{l=k(k-1)+1}^{k^2-1} r_l\overline{r_l}^t$ is positive semidefinite with k eigenvalues equal to 1 and the others zero. Notice that $BA_{\alpha_i} = A_{\alpha_i}B = \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}\frac{u^t}{\sqrt{k}}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

By lemma 5.4, in order to complete the proof, we need to show that $B = A_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ for some orthonormal basis α_{k+1} of $\mathbb{C}^k$.

Now, $S(B) = S(Id \otimes Id + uu^t - \sum_{i=1}^k A_{\alpha_i}) = S(Id \otimes Id + uu^t) - \sum_{i=1}^k S(A_{\alpha_i}) = Id \otimes Id + uu^t - \sum_{i=1}^k A_{\alpha_i} = B$. Thus B is invariant under realignment.

By lemma 1.14, we know that B has a Hermitian Schmidt decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ with $\lambda_i > 0$. Therefore, $B = S(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$, where $v_i = F(\gamma_i)$. Since F is an isometry, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$ is a spectral decomposition of B and λ_i are the eigenvalues. Then $n = k$ and $\lambda_i = 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$ is a Hermitian Schmidit decomposition of B and $F_B \circ G_B : M_k \to M_k$ is $F_B \circ G_B(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} tr(\gamma_i X)\gamma_i$, where $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ are orthonormal eigenvectors of $F_B \circ G_B$ associated to the eigenvalue 1. By proposition 5.1, exists an orthonormal basis α_{k+1} such that $B = A_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ and this basis is unique up to multiplication by complex numbers of norm one. □

6. Chasing Completely Reducible Maps

We saw in section 4 that if $A \in M_k \otimes M_m$ is positive under partial transposition or symmetric with positive coefficients or invariant under realignment then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. In this section, we search for other types of matrices that could have the same property. Let S_4 be the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We consider the group $\{L_\sigma : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k, \sigma \in S_4\}$ acting on $M_k \otimes M_k$, we define two types of sets, P_σ, I_σ, and we consider the following two problems.

Within these sets, we have the set of PPT matrices, the set of SPC matrices and the set of matrices invariant under realignment. We prove that these three types are the only types of matrices that appear in the solution of these two problems.

Definition 6.1. *Let $\sigma \in S_4$. Let $L_\sigma : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$ be the linear transformation defined by $L_\sigma(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t) = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)}^t \otimes a_{\sigma(3)} a_{\sigma(4)}^t$, for every $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}^k$.*

Let $P_\sigma = \{A \in M_k \otimes M_k, A$ and $L_\sigma(A)$ are positive semidefinite$\}$ and $I_\sigma = \{A \in M_k \otimes M_k, A$ is positive semidefinite and $A = L_\sigma(A)\}$.

Problem 1: Which of the sets P_σ contains only matrices A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible?

Problem 2: Which of the sets I_σ contains only matrices A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible?

6.1. Solution of Problem 1.

The solution of this problem is presented in the next theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *If for every $A \in P_\sigma$, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $P_\sigma = \{PPT$ matrices$\}$ or $P_\sigma = \{SPC$ matrices$\}$.*

Proof. If $\mu = (12)(34) \in S_4$ and $\rho = (13)(24) \in S_4$ then $L_\mu(A) = A^t$ and $L_\rho(A) = TAT$, where T is the flip operator (definition 1.6).

We can write $\{L_\sigma,\ \sigma \in S_4\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \{L_{\sigma_i}, L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_i}, L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}, L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}\}$, where $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ are the permutations in S_4 that fix 1. These six permutations are associated to the following linear transformations $L_{\sigma_i} : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$.

- $L_{\sigma_1}(A) = A$
- $L_{\sigma_2}(A) = A^{t_2}$, where $(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2} = a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_4 a_3^t$ (the partial transposition)
- $L_{\sigma_3}(A) = S(A)$, where $S(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t) = a_1 a_3^t \otimes a_2 a_4^t$ (the realignment map)
- $L_{\sigma_4}(A) = S(A^{t_2})$, where $S((a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2}) = a_1 a_4^t \otimes a_2 a_3^t$
- $L_{\sigma_5}(A) = S(A)^{t_2}$, where $S(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2} = a_1 a_3^t \otimes a_4 a_2^t$
- $L_{\sigma_6}(A) = AT$, where $(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)T = a_1 a_4^t \otimes a_3 a_2^t$ (T is the flip operator, definition 1.6)

Now, since each $L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_i}(A) = L_{\sigma_i}(A)^t, L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}(A) = TL_{\sigma_i}(A)T, L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}(A) = (TL_{\sigma_i}(A)T)^t$ is positive semidefinite if and only if $L_{\sigma_i}(A)$ is positive semidefinite, then every P_σ is equal to some P_{σ_i}. So in order to solve problem 1, we must consider only the sets P_{σ_i} such that $\sigma_i(1) = 1$.

Notice that $L_{\sigma_1}(uu^t) = uu^t$, $L_{\sigma_3}(uu^t) = S(uu^t) = Id \otimes Id$, $L_{\sigma_5}(uu^t) = S(uu^t)^{t_2} = Id \otimes Id$ and $L_{\sigma_6}(uu^t) = uu^t T = uu^t$. Thus, $uu^t \in P_{\sigma_i},\ i = 1, 3, 5, 6$. By lemma 4.4, $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible. Thus, there are matrices A within $P_{\sigma_1}, P_{\sigma_3}, P_{\sigma_5}, P_{\sigma_6}$ such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.

Now, $P_{\sigma_2} = \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ and $P_{\sigma_4} = \{\text{SPC matrices}\}$, by lemma 1.13. By theorems 4.1 and 4.2, if A is PPT or SPC then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. Thus, if for every $A \in P_\sigma$ $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $P_\sigma = \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ or $\{\text{SPC matrices}\}$. □

Remark 6.3. *Remind that SPC matrices are PPT in $M_2 \otimes M_2$ by theorem 4.3 in* [4]*. Thus, for $k = 2$ the solution of problem* 1 *is the set of PPT matrices.*

6.2. **Solution of Problem 2.** The solution of this problem is presented in the next two theorems.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $k \geq 3$. If for every $A \in I_\sigma$, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $I_\sigma \subset \{PPT\ matrices\}$ or $I_\sigma \subset \{SPC\ matrices\}$or $I_\sigma \subset \{Matrices\ Invariant\ under\ Realignment\}$.*

The solution for $k = 2$ is simpler.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $k = 2$. If for every $A \in I_\sigma$, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $I_\sigma \subset \{PPT\ matrices\}$.*

Proof of theorem 6.4.

We saw in the solution of problem 1 that $\{L_\sigma,\ \sigma \in S_4\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \{L_{\sigma_i}, L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_i}, L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}, L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_i}\}$, where $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ are the permutations in S_4 that fix 1. Recall that $L_\mu(A) = A^t$, $L_\rho(A) = TAT$, where T is the flip operator (definition 1.6) and $L_\rho(C \otimes D) = D \otimes C$, for every $C, D \in M_k$.

These permutations that fix 1 are associated to the following linear transformations $L_{\sigma_i} : M_k \otimes M_k \to M_k \otimes M_k$.

- $L_{\sigma_1}(A) = A$
- $L_{\sigma_2}(A) = A^{t_2}$, where $(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2} = a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_4 a_3^t$ (the partial transposition)
- $L_{\sigma_3}(A) = S(A)$, where $S(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t) = a_1 a_3^t \otimes a_2 a_4^t$ (the realignment map)
- $L_{\sigma_4}(A) = S(A^{t_2})$, where $S((a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2}) = a_1 a_4^t \otimes a_2 a_3^t$
- $L_{\sigma_5}(A) = S(A)^{t_2}$, where $S(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)^{t_2} = a_1 a_3^t \otimes a_4 a_2^t$
- $L_{\sigma_6}(A) = AT$, where $(a_1 a_2^t \otimes a_3 a_4^t)T = a_1 a_4^t \otimes a_3 a_2^t$ (T is the flip operator, definition 1.6)

Next, let $A \in M_k \otimes M_k$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. Recall that if A is PPT or SPC or invariant under realignment then $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible, by theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3. Recall that, by lemma 1.13, A is SPC if and only if $S(A^{t_2})$ is also a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix and $S^2 = Id$, by property 2 in lemma 1.11.

Let us consider $A = L_\sigma(A)$ for each $\sigma \in S_4$ separately. Thus, we have to consider the following 24 cases.

1) $A = L_{\sigma_1}(A)$: Notice that $uu^t = uu^t$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
2) $A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_1}(A)$: Notice that $uu^t = (uu^t)^t$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
3) $A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_1}(A)$: Notice that $uu^t = Tuu^tT$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
4) $A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_1}(A)$: Notice that $uu^t = (Tuu^tT)^t$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
5) $A = L_{\sigma_2}(A)$: If $A = A^{t_2}$ then A is PPT.

6) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_2}(A)}$: If $A = (A^{t_2})^t$ then $A^t = A^{t_2}$ and A is PPT.
7) $\underline{A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_2}(A)}$: If $A = T(A^{t_2})T$ then $TAT = A^{t_2}$ and A is PPT.
8) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_2}(A)}$: If $A = (T(A^{t_2})T)^t$ then $TA^tT = A^{t_2}$ and A is PPT.
9) $\underline{A = L_{\sigma_3}(A)}$: If $A = S(A)$ then A invariant under realignment.
10) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_3}(A)}$: Let $A = v\overline{v}^t + S(\overline{v}v^t) \in M_k \otimes M_k$, $k \geq 3$, as in lemma 4.5.
Notice that by properties (1) and (2) in lemma 1.11 and since $V = F^{-1}(v)$ is Hermitian (definition 1.6), $L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_3}(A) = S(A)^t = (V \otimes \overline{V} + \overline{v}v^t)^t = \overline{V} \otimes V + v\overline{v}^t = S(\overline{v}v^t) + v\overline{v}^t = A$. By lemma 4.5, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.
11) $\underline{A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_3}(A)}$: Let $A = v\overline{v}^t + S(\overline{v}v^t) \in M_k \otimes M_k$, $k \geq 3$, as in lemma 4.5.
Notice that by properties (1) and (2) in lemma 1.11 and since $L\overline{v} = v$ and $v^tT = \overline{v}^t$, because $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ is Hermitian (definition 1.6), $L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_3}(A) = T(S(A))T = T(V \otimes \overline{V} + \overline{v}v^t)T = \overline{V} \otimes V + v\overline{v}^t = S(\overline{v}v^t) + v\overline{v}^t = A$. By lemma 4.5, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.
12) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_3}(A)}$: If $A = (TS(A)T)^t$ then $TA^tT = S(A)$.
Thus, $S(A)$ is Hermitian and has a spectral decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, by properties (1) and (2) of lemma 1.11, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i \otimes \overline{V_i}$, where $F^{-1}(v_i) = V_i$. Therefore Av is Hermitian for every Hermitian $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. By lemma 1.12, A has a Hermitian decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$. Thus, $T(A^t)T = T(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\gamma_i^t \otimes \gamma_i)T = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t = A$. Therefore, $A = S(A)$ and A is invariant under realignment.
13) $\underline{A = L_{\sigma_4}(A)}$: If $A = S(A^{t_2})$ then A is SPC.
14) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_4}(A)}$: If $A = (S(A^{t_2}))^t$ then $A^t = S(A^{t_2})$ and A is SPC.
15) $\underline{A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_4}(A)}$: If $A = T(S(A^{t_2}))T$ then $TAT = S(A^{t_2})$ and A is SPC.
16) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_4}(A)}$: If $A = (TS(A^{t_2})T)^t$ then $TA^tT = S(A^{t_2})$ and A is SPC.
17) $\underline{A = L_{\sigma_5}(A)}$: If $A = S(A)^{t_2}$ then $S(A^{t_2}) = A$ and A is SPC.
18) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_5}(A)}$: If $A = (S(A)^{t_2})^t$ then $A^{t_2} = S(A)^t = S(TAT)$, by property (7) in lemma 1.11. Thus, $S(A^{t_2}) = TAT$ and A is SPC.

In the next two cases, we shall need two simple properties of the partial transpositions, $(TBT)^{t_1} = TB^{t_2}T$ and $(B^{t_1})^{t_2} = B^t$, where $(\sum_i C_i \otimes D_i)^{t_1} = \sum_i C_i^t \otimes D_i$.

19) $\underline{A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_5}(A)}$: If $A = TS(A)^{t_2}T$ then $A = (TS(A)T)^{t_1} = S(A^t)^{t_1}$, by property (8) in lemma 1.11. Therefore $A^{t_2} = S(A^t)^t = S(TA^tT)$, by property (7) in lemma 1.11, and $S(A^{t_2}) = TA^tT$. Thus, A is SPC.
20) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_5}(A)}$: If $A = (TS(A)^{t_2}T)^t$ then $A = ((TS(A)T)^{t_1})^t = (TS(A)T)^{t_2} = S(A^t)^{t_2}$. Thus, $S(A^{t_2}) = A^t$ and A is SPC.
21) $\underline{A = L_{\sigma_6}(A)}$: Notice that $uu^t = uu^tT$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
22) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_{\sigma_6}(A)}$: Notice that $uu^t = (uu^tT)^t$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
23) $\underline{A = L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_6}(A)}$: Notice that $uu^t = T(uu^tT)T$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.
24) $\underline{A = L_\mu \circ L_\rho \circ L_{\sigma_6}(A)}$: Notice that $uu^t = (Tuu^tTT)^t$ and $F_{uu^t} \circ G_{uu^t} : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible by lemma 4.4.

Finally, if for every $A \in I_\sigma$, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$ or $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{SPC matrices}\}$or $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{Matrices Invariant under Realignment}\}$. $\square$

In order to prove theorem 6.5, we need the following lemma. In this lemma we show that matrices invariant under realigment (matrices of the case 9), the matrices of the cases 10 and 11 of the proof of theorem 6.4 are PPT in $M_2 \otimes M_2$. Notice that this lemma is not true in $M_k \otimes M_k$, for $k \geq 3$. In the remark 6.7, we provide an example of a matrix invariant under realignment that is not PPT(or SPC) and in lemma 4.5 we provide a counterexample for the other two types of matrices.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $A \in M_2 \otimes M_2$ be a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix. If $A = S(A)$ or $A^t = S(A)$ or $TAT = S(A)$ then A is PPT.*

Proof. First of all, remind that if $A \in M_2 \otimes M_2$ is not PPT then A^{t_2} has full rank and has only one negative eigenvalue. The reader can find this result in proposition 1 in [1].

Now, if $S(A) = A$ or A^t or TAT then $S(A)$ is Hermitian and has a spectral decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \overline{v_i}^t$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, by properties (1) and (2) of lemma 1.11, $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i V_i \otimes \overline{V_i}$, where $F^{-1}(v_i) = V_i$. Therefore Av is Hermitian for every Hermitian $v \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. By lemma 1.12, A has a Hermitian decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i^t$.

Thus, $A^{t_2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_i$ and the subspaces of symmetric and anti-symmetric tensors in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ are left invariant by A^{t_2}. Since A^{t_2} is Hermitian and the subspace of anti-symmetric tensors in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is generated by $w = e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1$, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^2$, then $A^{t_2} w = \lambda w$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

First, suppose $A = S(A)$. Thus, $A^{t_2} = S(AT)T = S(A)^{t_2}T = A^{t_2}T$, by properties (4) and (6) in lemma 1.11. Since T is the flip operator and w is an anti-symmetric tensor then $Tw = -w$. Therefore $A^{t_2}w = A^{t_2}Tw = -A^{t_2}w$ and $A^{t_2}w = 0$. Therefore A^{t_2} does not have full rank and A must be PPT.

Second, suppose $A^t = S(A)$. Thus, $A^{t_2} = S(AT)T = S(A)^{t_2}T = (A^t)^{t_2}T = (A^{t_2})^t T$, by properties (4) and (6) in lemma 1.11. Since A^{t_2} is hermitian, $(A^{t_2})^t = \overline{A^{t_2}}$ and $A^{t_2} = \overline{A^{t_2}}T$. Since $\overline{w} = w$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\overline{A^{t_2}}w = \overline{A^{t_2}w} = \lambda w$. Thus, $\lambda w = A^{t_2}w = \overline{A^{t_2}}Tw = -\overline{A^{t_2}}w = -\lambda w$ and $\lambda = 0$. Therefore A^{t_2} does not have full rank and A is PPT.

Finally, if $TAT = S(A)$ then $A = TS(A)T = S(A^t)$, by property (8) in lemma 1.11. Thus, $S(A) = A^t$, by property (2) in lemma 1.11 and by the last case A is PPT. □

Example 6.7. *The matrix $Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T \in M_k \otimes M_k$, $k \geq 3$, is invariant under realignment (See 1.10) but it is not PPT, because its partial tranposition is $Id \otimes Id + T - uu^t$ and $(Id \otimes Id + T - uu^t)u = (2-k)u$. Notice also that $S((Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T)^{t_2}) = S(Id \otimes Id + T - uu^t) = uu^t + T - Id \otimes Id$ and any anti-symmetric vector in $\mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ is an eigenvector of $uu^t + T - Id \otimes Id$ associated to -2. Thus, $S((Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T)^{t_2})$ is not positive semidefinite and $Id \otimes Id + uu^t - T$ is not SPC, by lemma 1.13.*

Proof of theorem 6.5.

First, by lemma 6.6, the matrices A considered in the cases 10 and 11 in the proof of theorem 6.4 are PPT for $k = 2$, therefore $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible by lemma 4.1.

Now, the only cases in the proof of theorem 6.4 such that the hypothesis $k \geq 3$ was used, were the cases 10 and 11. Thus, for the other cases we can use the same arguments used in the proof of theorem 6.4. Just notice that SPC matrices and Matrices Invariant under Realigment are PPT for $k = 2$, by theorem 4.3 in [4] and by lemma 6.6, respectively. Therefore, if for every $A \in I_\sigma$, $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible then $I_\sigma \subset \{\text{PPT matrices}\}$. □

Summary

In this paper we showed that completely reducible maps arise naturally in Quantum Information Theory.

In section 1, we described some properties of the realignment map, some preliminary results and definitions. In section 2, we defined the completely reducible property for a positive map and we showed that this property is equivalent to the decomposition property if the positive map is self-adjoint.

In section 3, we considered a positive semidefinite Hermitian matrix $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \otimes B_i \in M_k \otimes M_m \simeq M_{km}$ and the positive maps $F_A : M_m \to M_k$, $F_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} tr(B_i X)A_i$ and $G_A : M_k \to M_m$, $G_A(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} tr(A_i X)B_i$. These maps are adjoints with respect to the trace inner product. In this section, we proved that the self-adjoint map $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible if and only if A has the property described in lemma 3.1. This property is equivalent to the decomposition property discussed in the previous section. In this section we assumed that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible and we proved that A is a sum of weakly irreducible matrices with support on orthogonal local Hilbert spaces. Thus, A is separable if and only if each weakly irreducible summand is separable. We gave a completely description of weakly irreducible matrices in this case. We also showed that if the eigenvalues of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ are 1 or 0 then A is separable in a very strong sense.

In section 4, we showed that if A positive under partial transposition or symmetric with positive coefficients or invariant under realignment then A has the property described in lemma 3.1, therefore $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible. Thus, all the theorems proved in section 3 are valid for these three types of matrices. We also provide examples of positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices A such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is not completely reducible.

In section 5, we obtained a new proof of the following fact: If $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains k mutually unbiased basis then $\mathbb{C}^k$ contains $k+1$. Our proof relies on the fact that A is separable, if the eigenvalues of $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ are 1 or 0 and A is invariant under realignment.

In section 6, we searched for other types of matrices that could have the same properties of PPT, SPC and matrices invariant under realignment without sucess. We considered a group of linear transformations acting on $M_k \otimes M_k$, which contains the partial transpositions and the realignment map. For each element of this group, we considered the set of matrices in $M_k \otimes M_k \simeq M_{k^2}$ that are positive and remain positive, or invariant, under the action of this element. Within this family of sets, we have the set of PPT matrices, the set of SPC matrices and the set of matrices invariant under realignment. We showed that these three sets are the only sets of this family such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_k \to M_k$ is completely reducible for every A in the set.

It is interesting to notice that in $M_2 \otimes M_2$, the PPT matrices is the only set of matrices, within this family of sets, such that $F_A \circ G_A : M_2 \to M_2$ is completely reducible for every A in the set. In order to obtain this theorem we showed that every matrix invariant under realignment is PPT in $M_2 \otimes M_2$ and we present a counterexample in $M_k \otimes M_k$, $k \geq 3$.

Acknowledgement. D. Cariello was supported by CNPq-Brazil Grant 245277/2012-9.

References

[1] R. Augusiak, M. Demianowicz, and P. Horodecki, *Universal observable detecting all two-qubit entanglement and determinant based separability tests*, Physical Review A **77** (2008), 030301.

[2] S. Bandyopadhyay, P. O. Boykin, V. Roychowdhury, and F. Vatan, *A new proof for the existence of mutually unbiased bases*, Algorithmica **34** (2002), 512.

[3] D. Cariello, *Separability for weakly irreducible matrices*, Quantum Inf. Comp. **14** (2014), no. 15-16, 1308–1337.

[4] D. Cariello, *Does symmetry imply PPT property?*, arxiv 1405.3634.

[5] N. J. Cerf, M. Bourennane, A. Karlsson, and N. Gisin, *Security of quantum key distribution using d-level systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002), 127902.

[6] K. Chen and L.-A. Wu, *A matrix realignment method for recognizing entanglement*, Quantum Inf. Comput. **3** (2003), 193–202.

[7] D. E. Evans and R. Høegh-Krohn, *Spectral properties of positive maps on C*-algebras*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **2** (1978), no. 2, 345–355.
[8] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Separability of mixed quantum states: linear contractions approach*, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **13** (2006), 103.
[9] I. D. Ivanovic, *Geometrical description of quantal state determination*, Journal of Physics A Mathematical General **14** (1981), 3241-3245.
[10] B. Kraus, J. I. Cirac, S. Karnas, and M. Lewenstein, *Separability in* $2 \times N$ *composite quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. A **61** (2000), 062302.
[11] P. Mandayam, S. Bandyopadhyay, M. Grassl, and William K. Wootters, *Unextendible Mutually Unbiased Bases from Pauli Classes*, Quantum Inf. Comput. **14** (2014), 823–844.
[12] O. Rudolph, *Further results on the cross norm criterion for separability*, Quantum Inf. Proc. **4** (2005), 219–239.
[13] H. H Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Vol. 215, Springer, 1974.
[14] G. Tóth and O. Gühne, *Separability criteria and entanglement witnesses for symmetric quantum states*, Applied Physics B **98** (2010), no. 4, 617-22.
[15] M. Weiner, *A gap for the maximum number of mutually unbiased bases*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **141** (2013), no. 6, 1963-1969.
[16] P. Wocjan and M. Horodecki, *Characterization of combinatorially independent permutation separability criteria*, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **12** (2005), 331.
[17] W. K. Wootters, *A Wigner-function formulation of finite-state quantum mechanics*, Annals of Physics **176** (1987), 1.
[18] W. K. Wootters and B. D. Fields, *Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurement*, Annals of Physics **191** (1989), 363-381.

Faculdade de Matemática,
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia,
38.400-902 Uberlândia, Brazil.
E-mail address: dcariello@famat.ufu.br

Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas,
Plaza de Ciencias 3,
Universidad Complutense de Madrid,
Madrid, 28040, Spain.
E-mail address: dcariell@ucm.es